# Elena 

from Process Type Foundry

## Designer Nicole Dotin

Format Cross-Platform OpenType
V Styles \& Weights 4 weights Roman and 4 weights Italic

AaBbCcDdEeFfGgHhIijjKkLlMm NnOoPpQqRrSsTtUuVuWwXxYyZ
AaBbCcDdEeFfGgHhIiJjKkLlMm NnOoPpQqRrSsTtUuVuWwXxY
AaBbCcDdEeFfGgHhIiJjKkLlMm NnOoPpQqRrSsTtUuVuWwXx
AaBbCcDdEeFfGgHhIiJjKkLlMm NnOoPpQqRrSsTtUuVvWw

| $\boldsymbol{\nabla}$ Elena |  | $\nabla$ Elena Basic (without features) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Complete Family \$249-All weights |  | Basic Family \$149-All weights |
| Original Pack \$199 - Regular and Bold weights |  | Original Pack \$99-Regular and Bold weights |
| Singles \$75 - Single weights |  | Singles \$39-Single weights |

[^0]Request to cut closer and tighter renders vest breathless

## 2 LINEN POCKETS

Ill-fitting items to be exchanged between 1:00 and 2:00 P.M. Ceremonial Uniform

Sartorially significant garmets glittering with gold lace and silver threads Pressed Bouclé Yarn TEXTILE REQUEST FORWARDED TO THE EARL OF CARDIGAN Bullion Braid Paradigm shift in attire apparent after home steamer emerges

## PENN AVENUE TAILOR

## 2 SETS OF TROUSERS THOUGH EFFICIENT WON'T LAST

# Turkish Delight 

Having followed your adventures for the last few years


16 \& 32 POINT
Supposed to be included in entry level landing despite the floor plan Central driving engine, in the way Physically possible, gentler typing style testing the limits of keyboard [SPOILER ALERT] HE WENT HOME Indicate that you're already in the holiday season: sweaters optional Early response time; mid-1997 call Six-month fellowship occupying a place of failure with some degree DEFAULT TO THE LEFT-SIDE JACK Picked from previous order to complete transaction without looking Very much, figuratively, palm rejection Now the new recipe functions with or without kitchen display port HISTORICALLY ACCURATE THING Of course, success can't be argued unless you are already hooked up

[^1]
## 100 POINT <br> Ga!Ě3A̧ěto

16 \& 32 POINT
Paragraph working as title song, reached apogee many pages back Ensnared suitor, ostracized again Shiver of fear now felt, beauty \& wit wiped free, conflict is revealed CHARACTER SKEPTICAL $\{53 \rightarrow 681\}$ Imagined it would be, competes openly on multiples, Calcutta now home long term, obliquely suspicious, right Thanks for stopping by, novels include The Later \& Home Comedian (3@5) ELIMINATED \& BOOSTING Outlets possible throughout the day, at least institutions, arrived speaking Passenger Given Planning Source Arrived in area of volunteers, then passed appointed commuters

## SHOWN BELOW FOLDING LAYER

 Pointing to alternate location, areas 45 \& 78 seemed most welcome

16 \& 32 POINT
Every once in a while the light doesn't go on, you've lost another Day 145 Entry: $-28^{\circ}$ Temperature People remember belonging to it, time-sensitive orders fulfilled ECOSYSTEM FOLLOWED ACCENT Accepted default if we can pick it a part, $13 \%$ of participants claim Situationally, literal boiling point Forums somewhere with typical configuration, destined merger FLOWERING BLOOMS BORDERED Their first performance, our first impression-top of the line constraint Immediately Recorded (Quietly) Call some people and completely get used to it, for some reason SOMETHING LARGER THAN 25LB Actually, could you get on a plane and go? I'm getting back today


16 \& 32 POINT
End of second week, working silently, captive with audience

## RETURNED GLARING AUDIBLE

In retrospect, early twenties problematic for tackling larger books

## Audio remains transcendental

Decision problematic, $25 \%$ versed in modernity, traditionally

## HANDED TISSUE \& BLUBBERING

 Terrible admission, in terms of romance, descriptively open Messaging decision searching Arranged (not by title, but publication date) openly discordant DIFFERENT HOUR ADJUSTMENT Early August, emblazoned with, (as, or) crinkling magnolias Suddenly Hollow Respectively Issued from small speaker, lopped off response, 36 still rumblingLIGHT THE TRIMMING YOU REQUESTED turned out well considering I'm new to such things. Still it leaves me with a few questions. For instance, why do any of this by hand? If I had used the lawn mower on the hedges then they would be lower in the first place. Okay, sure, they would be really low, but think how much fuel we'll save over the long run. Right I know, keep doing it by hand and there'd be no use for fuel, but you need to think more openly, even radically. The entire grounds would have a much lower appearance, almost like a flat-top haircut. You know, like barbers used to give. Except, instead of centimeters, think in terms of acres, basically the entire grounds cleaned up real tidy in a mannered level shape. Now, the watering instructions all made perfect sense considering the roses have been moved to a new area. Keep in mind, as a reluctant groundskeeper, my laziness is of a proportion that can modestly be described as epic. Like, do you really expect me to water in such warm weather? Seems only logical that

BOLD THE TRIMMING YOU REQUESTED turned out well considering I'm new to such things. Still it leaves me with a few questions. For instance, why do any of this by hand? If I had used the lawn mower on the hedges then they would be lower in the first place. Okay, sure, they would be really low, but think how much fuel we'll save over the long run. Right I know, keep doing it by hand and there'd be no use for fuel, but you need to think more openly, even radically. The entire grounds would have a much lower appearance, almost like a flat-top haircut. You know, like barbers used to give. Except, instead of centimeters think in terms of acres, basically the entire grounds cleaned up real tidy in a mannered level shape. Now, the watering instructions all made perfect sense considering the roses have been moved to a new area. Keep in mind, as a reluctant groundskeeper, my laziness is of a proportion that can modestly
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THE TRIMMING YOU REQUESTED turned out well considering I'm new to such things. Still it leaves me with a few questions. For instance, why do any of this by hand? If I had used the lawn mower on the hedges then they would be lower in the first place. Okay, sure, they would be really low, but think how much fuel we'll save over the long run. Right I know, keep doing it by hand and there'd be no use for fuel, but you need to think more openly, even radically. The entire grounds would have a much lower appearance, almost like a flat-top haircut. You know, like barbers used to give. Except, instead of centimeters, think in terms of acres, basically the entire grounds cleaned up

THE TRIMMING YOU REQUESTED turned out well considering I'm new to such things. Still it leaves me with a few questions. For instance, why do any of this by hand? If I had used the lawn mower on the hedges then they would be lower in the first place. Okay, sure, they would be really low, but think how much fuel we'll save over the long run. Right I know, keep doing it by hand and there'd be no use for fuel, but you need to think more openly, even radically. The entire grounds would have a much lower appearance, almost like a flat-top haircut. You know, like barbers used to give. Except, instead of centimeters think in terms of acres, basically the
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THE TRIMMING YOU REQUESTED turned out well considering I'm new to such things. Still it leaves me with a few questions. For instance, why do any of this by hand? If I had used the lawn mower on the hedges then they would be lower in the first place. Okay, sure, they would be really low, but think how much fuel we'll save over the long run. Right I know, keep doing it by hand and there'd be no use for fuel, but you need to think more openly, even radically. The entire grounds would have a much lower appearance, almost like a flat-top haircut. You know, like barbers used to give. Except, instead of centimenters
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THE TRIMMING YOU REQUESTED turned out well considering I'm new to such things. Still it leaves me with a few questions. For instance, why do any of this by hand? If I had used the lawn mower on the hedges then they would be lower in the first place. Okay, sure, they would be really low, but think how much fuel we'll save over the long run. Right I know, keep doing it by hand and there'd be no use for fuel, but you need to think more openly, even radically. The entire grounds would have a much lower appearance, almost like a flat-top haircut. You know, like barbers used to give. Except, instead of centimeters, think in terms of acres, basically the entire grounds cleaned up real tidy in a mannered level shape. Now, the watering instructions all made perfect sense considering the roses have been moved to a new area. Keep in mind, as a reluctant groundskeeper, my laziness is of a proportion that can modestly be described as epic. Like, do you really expect me to water in such warm weather? Seems only logical that roses could lift a finger and turn on the water themselves. If they really need it that much. Or how about this: the watering system could be activated by cell phone! You know, make a call and the system turns on. Of course I couldn't do that though. Aside from being a lot to ask, you don't pay me enough to afford a cell phone so naturally I couldn't activate the system. Oh I see, if you added me to the group plan, the phone for the roses would be cheaper. But we're getting a little off topic and the issue remains how inefficient the entire process is. I mean, first of all, you didn't even plant this stuff to begin with and you're definitely not going to live forever. No matter how much I snip on top, these roots aren't going to go anywhere but down and out. The hedges are here to stay so back to radical ideas, some real rethinking. What if we flipped the entire thing, brought up the dirt and paved it all. There's a free park just down the road, don't forget, and that's a plenty good place to see some green and save on all this maintenance. I realize I just talked myself out of a job but like I said, I'm new to such things and am inclined

THE TRIMMING YOU REQUESTED turned out well considering I'm new to such things. Still it leaves me with a few questions. For instance, why do any of this by hand? If I had used the lawn mower on the hedges then they would be lower in the first place. Okay, sure, they would be really low, but think how much fuel we'll save over the long run. Right I know, keep doing it by hand and there'd be no use for fuel, but you need to think more openly, even radically. The entire grounds would have a much lower appearance, almost like a flat-top haircut. You know, like barbers used to give. Except, instead of centimeters think in terms of acres, basically the entire grounds cleaned up real tidy in a mannered level shape. Now, the watering instructions all made perfect sense considering the roses have been moved to a new area. Keep in mind, as a reluctant groundskeeper, my laziness is of a proportion that can modestly be described as epic. Like, do you really expect me to water in such warm weather? Seems only logical that roses could lift a finger and turn on the water themselves. If they really need it that much. Or how about this: the watering system could be activated by cell phone! You know, make a call and the system turns on. Of course I couldn't do that though. Aside from being a lot to ask, you don't pay me enough to afford a cell phone so naturally I couldn't activate the system. Oh I see, if you added me to the group plan, the phone for the roses would be cheaper. But we're getting a little off topic and the issue remains how inefficient the entire process is. I mean, first of all, you didn't even plant this stuff to begin with and you're definitely not going to live forever. No matter how much I snip on top, these roots aren't going to go anywhere but down and out. The hedges are here to stay so back to radical ideas, some real rethinking. What if we flipped the entire thing, brought up the dirt and paved it all. There's a free park just down the road, don't forget, and that's a plenty good place to see some green and save on all this maintenance. I realize I just talk

THE TRIMMING YOU REQUESTED turned out well considering I'm new to such things. Still it leaves me with a few questions. For instance, why do any of this by hand? If I had used the lawn mower on the hedges then they would be lower in the first place. Okay, sure, they would be really low, but think how much fuel we'll save over the long run. Right I know, keep doing it by hand and there'd be no use for fuel, but you need to think more openly, even radically. The entire grounds would have a much lower appearance, almost like a flat-top haircut. You know, like barbers used to give. Except, instead of centimeters, think in terms of acres, basically the entire grounds cleaned up real tidy in a mannered level shape. Now, the watering instructions all made perfect sense considering the roses have been moved to a new area. Keep in mind, as a reluctant groundskeeper, my laziness is of a proportion that can modestly be described as epic. Like, do you really expect me to water in such warm weather? Seems only logical that roses could lift a finger and turn on the water themselves. If they really need it that much. Or how about this: the watering system could be activated by cell phone! You know, make a call and the system turns on. Of course I couldn't do that though. Aside from being a lot to ask, you don't pay me enough to afford a cell phone so naturally I couldn't activate the system. Oh I see, if you added me to the group plan, the phone for the roses would be cheaper. But we're getting a little off topic and the issue remains how inefficient the entire process is. I mean, first of all, you didn't even plant this stuff to begin with and you're definitely not going to live forever. No matter how much I snip on top, these roots aren't going to go anywhere but down and out. The hedges are here to stay so back to radical ideas, some real rethinking. What if we flipped the entire thing, brought up the dirt and paved it all. There's a free park just down the road, don't forget, and that's a plenty good place to see some green

THE TRIMMING YOU REQUESTED turned out well considering I'm new to such things. Still it leaves me with a few questions. For instance, why do any of this by hand? If I had used the lawn mower on the hedges then they would be lower in the first place. Okay, sure, they would be really low, but think how much fuel we'll save over the long run. Right I know, keep doing it by hand and there'd be no use for fuel, but you need to think more openly, even radically. The entire grounds would have a much lower appearance, almost like a flat-top haircut. You know, like barbers used to give. Except, instead of centimeters think in terms of acres, basically the entire grounds cleaned up real tidy in a mannered level shape. Now, the watering instructions all made perfect sense considering the roses have been moved to a new area. Keep in mind, as a reluctant groundskeeper, my laziness is of a proportion that can modestly be described as epic. Like, do you really expect me to water in such warm weather? Seems only logical that roses could lift a finger and turn on the water themselves. If they really need it that much. Or how about this: the watering system could be activated by cell phone! You know, make a call and the system turns on. Of course I couldn't do that though. Aside from being a lot to ask, you don't pay me enough to afford a cell phone so naturally I couldn't activate the system. Oh I see, if you added me to the group plan, the phone for the roses would be cheaper. But we're getting a little off topic and the issue remains how inefficient the entire process is. I mean, first of all, you didn't even plant this stuff to begin with and you're definitely not going to live forever. No matter how much I snip on top, these roots aren't going to go anywhere but down and out. The hedges are here to stay so back to radical ideas, some real rethinking. What if we flipped the entire thing, brought up the dirt and
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## V Elena

## For Setting Small Caps 0123456789 FOR TABLES

SETTING ALL SMALL CAPS
0123456789 for tables


0123456789 TO SMALL CAPS
SMALL CAP NUMERALS

## F Elena Basic

fi fl fiflff fjffiflffi
LIGATURES

Frac $1 / 41 / 2^{3} / 4^{1 / 3} 2 / 31 / 83 / 85 / 87 / 8$
FRACTIONS (PRE-BUILT)
$\rightarrow \rightarrow \longrightarrow \lll<$
ARROWS (FOUND AS ORNAMENTS \& LIGATURES)

| Language Coverage | The Elena Extended Latin Character set supports: |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Afrikaans, Albanian, Basque, Bokmål, Bosnian, Breton, Catalan, Cornish, Croatian, Czech, Danish, Dutch, English, Esperanto, Estonian, Faroese, Finnish, French, Frisian, Friulian, Gaelic (Manx), Gaelic (Scottish), Gagauz (Latin), Galician, German, Hungarian, Icelandic, Indonesian, Irish, Irish Gaelic, Italian, Karelian, Ladin, Latvian, Lithuanian, Luxemburgish, Maltese, Moldavian (Latin), Norwegian, Polish, Portuguese, Rhaeto-Romanic, Romanian, Sami, Serbian (Latin), Slovak, Slovenian, Sorbian, Spanish, Swahili, Swedish, Turkish and Welsh. |
| Supported Encodings | Elena OpenType fonts are Unicode encoded and support: |
|  | Western European (1252 Latin 1), Eastern European (1250 Latin 2), |
|  | Baltic (1257) and Turkish (1254) |
| Characters | 783 characters per weight roman and 788 characters per weight italic |
| Weights | Light, Regular, Medium, and Bold with italics for each |
| Font Files | 8 OpenType (.otf) font files in complete family |
| Released | November 2011, December 2016 |
| Available | processtypefoundry.com |
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